

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERGE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION CO.
09/963,330	09/25/2001	H. W. Holland-Letz	213150	1181
23460	7590 10/30/2003		EXAM	INER
LEYDIG VOIT & MAYER, LTD TWO PRUDENTIAL PLAZA, SUITE 4900			WILLIAMS, MARK A	
180 NORTH STETSON AVENUE CHICAGO, IL 60601-6780		2 4700	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3676	

DATE MAILED: 10/30/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		()
	Applicati n No.	Applicant(s)
Advisory Action	09/963,330	HOLLAND-LETZ, H. W.
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Examiner	Art Unit
÷	Mark A. Williams	3676 ///
The MAILING DATE of this communication appo	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondenc address +
THE REPLY FILED 18 October 2003 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this applica) a timely filed amendment which	ation. A proper reply to a high places the application in
PERIOD FOR RI	EPLY [check either a) or b)]	
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailir		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	later than SIX MONTHS from the mailin S FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH	g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offitimely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 (of extension and the corresponding amo the shortened statutory period for reply ice later than three months after the mai	ount of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF		
$2. \boxtimes$ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered b	ecause:	
(a) \(\square\) they raise new issues that would require furth	er consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note by	below);	
(c) they are not deemed to place the application i issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or simplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without cancel NOTE:	ing a corresponding number of f	inally rejected claims.
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	tion(s):	
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed amendment
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for application in condition for allowance because:		dered but does NOT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered bed raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims w		
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		
Claim(s) allowed:		
Claim(s) objected to:		
Claim(s) rejected: <u>80-113</u> .		· · ·
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		•
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a) approved or b) disapp	roved by the Examiner.
9. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme		
10.⊠ Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u>	4	
January Street Grant Street	.G	
•	TR E MSKY EXAMINER	.*

Continuation of 10. Other: Although applicant's remarks have been considered, the rejection of record is still considered valid. In particular, to modify the outer surface of Kopelman to include the claimed convex portion with the motivation cited in the Office Action. Such a modification, does not necessarily limit a user to one of either his right or left hand.